

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,708	01/05/2004	William L. Berg	B044 P00773-US1	2267
3017	7590 10/30/2006		EXAM	INER
BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD.			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
5TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903			3611	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,708	BERG, WILLIAM L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joanne Silbermann	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.5,6,8,10-12,14,16,17,19 and 20 is. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.5,6,8,10-12,14,16,17,19,20 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the original transfer of the property of the second	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/751,708

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 8 depends from claim 7, which has been canceled. Correction is required. For purposes of examination, it is assumed that claim 8 depends from claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1,3,6,8,11,12,14,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham, US #1,068,621 in view of Cole, US #214,105.
- 6. Abraham teaches a storage and framing unit comprising frame 5 including a front surface and a rear surface (Figures 1 and 2), an aperture in the front surface for viewing a display (Figure 1) and display 12 held therein. A storage compartment (Figure 5) has a rear wall (8, Figure 2) and side walls (Figure 5) having opening 11 therein for insertion and removal of displays 12. Flanges 9 attach the storage compartment to the rear surface of the frame. Closure elements (binder rails) 13 have photo pages attached

Application/Control Number: 10/751,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

thereto and close off the opening when inserted in the storage compartment (Figure 3) and are adjacent the aperture.

- Abraham does not teach the storage compartment as being slidably attached to the frame, however this is well known in the art, as taught by Cole. Cole teaches a frame (A) and a storage compartment (D) that are slidably attached by mounting rails and receiver channels (B, C). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the storage compartment of Abraham using slidable means (as in Cole) so that the storage compartment may be easily removed.
- 8. Claims 17 and 19 are considered to be an equivalent alternative, and are thus obvious in view of Abraham and Cole.
- 9. Claims 5,10,16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham and Cole as applied to claims 3,8,14 and 19 above, and further in view of Kim, US #6,868,630.
- 10. Abraham and Cole do not teach using magnets, however this is well known in the art. Kim teaches magnets 15 and 16 for holding a display in place. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize magnets in the frame of Abraham (as modified by Cole) to secure the photo display pages in place.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 18 August 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3611

12. Applicant argues that element 13 of Abraham is not a closure element since it receives only a single picture, and that if only a single picture were used the aperture would not be closed. Applicant's argument is much narrower than the instant claim language. Element 13 (or several used together to form a binder rail) close the opening of the storage compartment. Pictures are attached thereto. The structure of the claims is shown in the reference.

- 13. Applicant also argues that the storage compartment of Abraham may not be used for holding items other than pictures, and therefore does not function like the compartment of the instant claims. Applicant appears to be arguing the intended use of the storage compartment. All the structural elements (side walls, etc.) are shown by Abraham.
- 14. Regarding the Cole reference, Cole was cited to show an alternative mounting means for the storage compartment.

#### Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

24 October 2006